

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,437	MAGAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627	

All Participants:

(1) SARAH PIHONAK.

(2) DAVID CLOUGH.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ALL

Claims discussed:

1, 3-6, 13-14, 16-32, 34-36

Prior art documents discussed:

ALL

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SEE CONTINUATION

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

On 2/12/2010, Applicants' attorney, David Clough, was contacted to indicate the allowability of claims 23-32, 34-36. A rejoinder of the withdrawn method claims was requested. On 2/23/2010, amendments to the withdrawn method claims were submitted. The amended method claims 1, 3-6, 13-14, and 16-22 are commensurate in scope with the allowable compound claims. The restriction requirement was withdrawn; claims 1, 3-6, 13-14, 16-32, and 34-36 are allowed.